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A B S T R A C T

Mobile social networks and device-to-device (D2D) communications have emerged as promising techniques to support better local advanced services in 5G networks. Nevertheless, the integration of mobile social networks and D2D communications into 5G networks poses pivotal challenges such as how to exploit the social relationships of mobile users (MUs) and manage the interference and resources (i.e., spectrum and energy) in order to improve the performance of D2D communications. To this end, we propose a social-aware energy efficiency optimization solution for D2D communications in 5G networks. In particular, we first analyze and evaluate the influence of social relationships on the performance of D2D communications, which enable us to formulate the energy efficiency optimization (EEO) problem while carefully considering both the social relationships and physical interference between all the MUs. The EEO problem is then solved for optimal channel mode selection and optimal transmission powers allocated to each MU to maximize the energy efficiency, by utilizing adaptive genetic algorithm. Numerical results show that compared with social-unaware methods, our proposed solution can achieve significant improvement in terms of energy efficiency and system throughput while preserving the quality of service (QoS) for all users by taking into account the spectrum efficiency and transmission power constraints.

1. Introduction

The fifth generation (5G) cellular networks are being developed to meet the demands for significantly increasing data traffic, generated by the ever-growing number of mobile devices and their bandwidth-hungry mobile applications [1]. The system characteristics of 5G networks consist of high capacity, extremely low latency and very high data rate to support various media-rich mobile applications with stringent quality of service (QoS) requirements [2–4]. As a promising technique for 5G networks, the emerging device-to-device (D2D) communications has the capability to improve network capacity, enhance spectrum efficiency and reduce power consumption [5–7]. In D2D communications, mobile devices in close proximity can communicate directly with each other, instead of through a base station (BS). As a result, D2D communications can support better local advanced services such as small-scale social networking, local advertising, local data sharing and Internet of Things (IoT) applications [8,9]. However, the utilization of D2D communications in 5G networks is faced with some new technical challenges, such as interference management, resource allocation (i.e., spectrum and energy), mode selection (i.e., cellular or D2D mode), power allocation and especially how to exploit the social relationships of mobile users (MUs) [9,10].

In consideration of mobile social networks, mobile devices are held by humans who may form social networks with stable relationships [11,12]. It is obvious that the social information of MUs in social networks reflects their interactions in real life [13]. If the social relationships of MUs are exploited in an effective way, the knowledge of social networks can be leveraged in order to encourage MUs to share the data directly [14–16]. Therefore, the proliferation of social networks (e.g., Facebook, Twitter, and Sina Blog, etc.) also offers good opportunities to improve the performance of D2D communications in 5G networks. This enables better performance of numerous promising applications, such as proximity-based social networks (e.g., group gaming, location-aware advertisement, local data sharing, etc.), emergency communications in...
disasters (e.g., earthquakes, fires, floods, etc.) and communications in safe traffic systems.

Moreover, the increasing QoS of mobile applications results in an increase in energy consumption of mobile devices [17]. Meanwhile, the current state-of-the-art in battery technology has not dealt with this exponential growth, leaving a huge gap between the available battery capacity and required battery capacity [18]. Therefore, the energy efficiency in D2D communications should be intensively investigated in order to save as much energy consumption as possible, contributing to the evolution of green 5G networks.

In this paper, we aim to leverage the social relationships of MUs to improve the performance of D2D communications in terms of energy efficiency, while achieving high system throughput and guaranteeing the spectrum efficiency for high QoS requirements. To do so, we propose a social-aware energy efficiency optimization solution for D2D communications in 5G networks by applying adaptive genetic algorithm. The main contributions of this work are summarized as follows:

- We analyze the challenges that appear when exploiting social relationships of MUs to improve the performance of D2D communications in 5G networks as well as the arising energy consumption in social-aware D2D communications underlying cellular networks.
- We formulate the energy efficiency optimization (EEO) problem in D2D communications by carefully considering both the social relationships and physical interference between all MUs in the network. In our system model, multiple D2D pairs can share the same spectrum resource of one cellular user in order to enhance the spectrum efficiency.
- We then apply the adaptive genetic algorithm to solve this EEO problem for maximizing the energy efficiency while ensuring high system throughput and spectrum efficiency for high QoS requirements. Furthermore, the convergence rate of algorithm is also evaluated to show the feasibility of our proposed solution.
- Our numerical results demonstrate the significant effectiveness of our proposed solution compared with social-unaware solutions in terms of energy efficiency and system throughput for social-aware D2D communications.

The remainder of this work is organized as follows. The related works are discussed in Section 2. Section 3 provides the system model and problem formulation of social-aware D2D communications in 5G networks. Section 4 presents social-aware energy efficiency optimization problem and solution using adaptive genetic algorithm. The numerical results that demonstrate the effectiveness of our proposed method, are shown in Section 5. Finally, we conclude this work in Section 6.

2. Related works

Recently, there are some intensive studies solving the energy efficiency problem in D2D communications [19–28]. Particularly, in [19–21], the authors studied the energy-efficient resource allocation schemes for D2D communications underlaying cellular networks, to maximize the energy efficiency of D2D links. The authors in [22–24] proposed the energy-efficient power control methods for green D2D communications in order to minimize the power consumption. Another important challenge in D2D communications, which is interference management problem has been studied in [25,26] to improve the energy efficiency of D2D and cellular links. With respect to the D2D communications in unlicensed spectrum (out-band D2D communications), the authors in [27] exploited the network coding (NC) technique in bidirectional communications to propose an adaptive cooperative NC-based medium access control (ACNC-MAC) protocol for the out-band D2D communications. The simulation results demonstrated that this protocol can achieve improvements in terms of D2D throughput and energy efficiency. Moreover, in [28], the two MAC strategies based on game theory (i.e., distributed and coordinated approach) have been proposed for energy-efficient and rapid out-band D2D content dissemination in 4G cellular networks. However, these studies [19–28] did not consider the social relationship information of MUs, which influences the performance of D2D communications. This motivates us to take into account the mobile social networks for energy efficiency optimization problem in D2D communications underlying 5G cellular networks.

In fact, research on exploiting social networking characteristics in D2D communications has just been started [14,29–33]. Particularly, the authors in [29] utilized the contact time information, which is obtained through the social model, to maximize the resource utilization and network throughput in D2D-assisted cellular networks. However, this study only considered the contact time information for resource allocation problem, which is not sufficiently convincing. In [30], the authors proposed a solution based on the general cooperative game theory for social-aware resource allocation problem in order to maximize the social group utility in D2D communications. Similarly, the community characteristic of social networks has been exploited in [31] to propose an efficient resource allocation scheme for D2D communications underlaying cellular networks. Moreover, the cooperative D2D communications has been investigated under social networking perspectives in [32,33]. Nevertheless, these works [29–33] mainly focused on exploiting the social relationships for resource allocation problem and cooperative D2D communications, without considering the energy efficiency in D2D communications. The work in [14] proposed a social-aware cooperative D2D-MAC protocol that utilizes social relationships to increase the energy efficiency in cooperative D2D communications. However, the authors in this work focused on the integration of social networks into MAC protocol design for green D2D communications without considering the physical interference management problem.

Different from the aforementioned studies, we consider both the social relationships and physical interference between all MUs to improve the performance and increase the energy efficiency for D2D communications in 5G networks.

3. System model and problem formulation

This section will introduce the detailed system model and problem formulation, as well as the assumptions. To facilitate the readers, the important notations are shown in Table 1.

3.1. System model

In this work, the social-aware D2D communications in 5G networks are considered as a combination of the physical domain and the social domain, as shown in Fig. 1. This system model will be described in details in the sequels.

3.1.1. The physical domain

In the physical domain, the users wish to set up D2D communication links to utilize the cellular resources depending on the physical and communication constraints [30]. Therefore, we consider the D2D communications model in 5G networks in a single cell scenario where the base station is located at the center and multiple cellular users or D2D pairs (i.e., transmitter and receiver) are randomly distributed.

In this model, we take into account the communication phase with assumptions that each cellular user will be allocated an orthogonal subchannel and D2D pairs can reuse the spectrum resources of cellular user in order to enhance the spectrum efficiency. Therefore, there is no interference among cellular users but mutual interference between cellular user and D2D pairs because of non-orthogonal resource sharing. Moreover, the corresponding D2D link will be established when the distance between transmitter and receiver of D2D pair satisfies the predefined distance threshold [30] and the characteristics of channel will not change during transmission.
Table 1
Important notations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Explanation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(N_C)</td>
<td>Number of cellular users</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(N_D)</td>
<td>Number of D2D pairs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\mathcal{S})</td>
<td>Set of cellular users</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\mathcal{D})</td>
<td>Set of D2D pairs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(C_i)</td>
<td>j-th cellular user ((i = 1, 2, \ldots, N_C))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(D_j)</td>
<td>j-th D2D pair ((j = 1, 2, \ldots, N_D))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(U)</td>
<td>Vertex set, which denotes the set of all users in social domain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\mathcal{S})</td>
<td>Edge set, which is also matrix of social relationship strength</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\delta_{i, j})</td>
<td>Strength of social relationship between users (C_i) and (D_j)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\gamma_{i, j})</td>
<td>Jaccard coefficient of (z)-th social factor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\rho_{CTx}^i)</td>
<td>Transmission power of cellular user (C_i)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\rho_{DTx}^j)</td>
<td>Transmission power of D2D pair (D_j)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\rho_{max}^j)</td>
<td>Maximum transmission power of D2D pair (D_j)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\lambda_{i, j})</td>
<td>Spectrum resources reusing indicator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\lambda_{i, j})</td>
<td>Spectrum resources reusing indicator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(R_{laC_i})</td>
<td>Interference power at the BS for cellular user (C_i)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(R_{laD_j})</td>
<td>Interference power at the D2D receiver (D_j)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\alpha)</td>
<td>Impact coefficient of social relationship strength</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\tau)</td>
<td>Minimum spectrum efficiency of the D2D link</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Particularly, there are \(N_C\) cellular users and \(N_D\) D2D pairs, which are randomly distributed in the considered cell. Let \(\mathcal{S}\) and \(\mathcal{D}\) denote the set of cellular users and the set of D2D pairs, respectively, that satisfy \(\mathcal{S} \cap \mathcal{D} = \emptyset\). Then, the set of all users in the considered cell \(\mathcal{SU}\) can be expressed as \(\mathcal{SU} = \mathcal{S} \cup \mathcal{D}\). Let \(C_i\) denote the arbitrary cellular user, \(i = 1, 2, \ldots, N_C\). The transmitter and receiver of the D2D pair \(D_j\) are denoted by \(D_{jT}\) and \(D_{jR}\), respectively, here \(j = 1, 2, \ldots, N_D\).

3.1.2. The social domain

We utilize the weighted graph \(G_s(U, \mathcal{S})\) to define the social relationships of all users in the system, as depicted in Fig. 2. Here, \(U\) is the vertex set, which denotes the set of all users in the social domain, while \(\mathcal{S}\) is the relational edge set representing the social relationship strength of them. The users, which have similar hobbies or interest in the same content, may have strong social relationships. Therefore, users will rely on their social relationship information to decide to share their own contents directly, because users with stronger social relationships are more willing to help in resources sharing [34].

The matrix \(\mathcal{S}\) represents the social relationship strength in the social domain, which is a matrix with \(N_C \times N_D\) entries \(S_{i, j}\) and \(i = 1, 2, \ldots, N_C\) and \(j = 1, 2, \ldots, N_D\). Here, \(S_{i, j}\) represents the strength of social relationship between users \(C_i\) and \(D_j\), which is influenced by many social factors such as the similarity of their profiles, common interests, mutual friends, frequencies and durations of their interactions, etc. [34]. In the social domain, the strength of social relationship is normalized as \(S_{i, j} \in [0, 1]\) with a higher value of \(S_{i, j}\) indicating a stronger social relationship.

In order to calculate the matrix of social relationship strength \(\mathcal{S}\), we apply the Jaccard similarity method [35], which has been widely adopted in social networking analysis [34,36,37]. Mathematically, the strength of social relationship \(S_{i, j}\) can be expressed as

\[
S_{i, j} = \sum_{z=1}^{\tau} \omega_z J_z^{i, j},
\]

where \(\tau\) denotes the total number of social factors, \(\omega_z\) denotes the relative weight coefficient of \(z\)-th social factor, and \(J_z^{i, j}\), which represents the Jaccard coefficient of this social factor [38], can be calculated as

**Fig. 1.** System model.

**Fig. 2.** Social relationship model of all users.
where $P_{ij}^d$ and $F_{ij}^d$ are $z$-th social factors of user $C_i$ and user $D_j$, respectively. Such social factors information can be captured through the social networks.

Therefore, exploiting the social relationships of mobile users opens up a new way to improve the performance and decrease energy consumption in D2D communications.

3.2. Problem formulation

3.2.1. Energy efficiency

In order to achieve the objective of green D2D communications in 5G networks, we aim to maximize the overall energy efficiency of the system while meeting both the spectrum efficiency requirements and transmission power constraints of all users.

To compute the overall energy efficiency, we consider the Rayleigh fading channels to model all channels in the system. Hence, the received power at the BS from the cellular user $C_i$, which is denoted by $P_{C_i,BS}$, can be calculated as

$$P_{C_i,BS} = P_{C_i}G_{C_i,BS}, \quad (3)$$

where $P_{C_i}$ and $G_{C_i,BS}$ are transmission power of cellular user $C_i$ and the channel gain from the cellular user $C_i$ to the BS, respectively.

It is noted that during transmission from the cellular user $C_i$ to the BS, the channel gain $G_{C_i,BS}$ is modeled as

$$G_{C_i,BS} = (d_{C_i,BS})^{-\eta}h_{C_i,BS}, \quad (4)$$

where $d_{C_i,BS}$ is the distance between the cellular user $C_i$ and the BS, $\eta$ is the path loss factor, and $h_{C_i,BS}$ is the Rayleigh fading channel coefficient that obeys the distribution of $\mathcal{CN}(0, 1)$.

As mentioned above, the received signals at the BS from the cellular users $C_i$ are interfered by the D2D transmitters sharing the same spectrum resources with $C_i$. Therefore, the interference power at the BS for cellular user $C_i$, denoted by $I_i$, can be expressed as

$$I_i = \sum_{j=1}^{N_c} \lambda_{C_i,D_j}P_{ij}^cG_{ij,BS}, \quad (5)$$

where $P_{ij}^c$ and $G_{ij,BS}$ denote the transmission power of D2D pair $D_j$ and the channel gain from the D2D transmitter $D_j$ to the BS, respectively. And $\lambda_{C_i,D_j}$ is the reusing indicator, which describes the spectrum resources reusing and satisfies $\lambda_{C_i,D_j} \in \{0, 1\}, \forall C_i \in \mathcal{C}, \forall D_j \in \mathcal{D}$. We define $\lambda_{C_i,D_j} = 0$ to indicate that the cellular user $C_i$ is not willing to share spectrum resources with D2D pair $D_j$, so the users will operate in the cellular mode. Otherwise, $\lambda_{C_i,D_j} = 1$ means that the D2D pair $D_j$ will share spectrum resources with cellular user $C_i$ and operate in the reusing D2D mode.

For a given subchannel, the signal-to-interference-plus-noise ratio ($\text{SINR}_{C_i}$) received at the BS for cellular user $C_i$ is calculated as

$$\text{SINR}_{C_i} = \frac{P_{C_i}^dG_{C_i,BS}}{I_i + \sigma^2}, \quad (6)$$

where $\sigma^2$ represents the noise power of Additive White Gaussian Noise (AWGN).

Similarly, the signals at the D2D receiver $D_j$ are interfered by the cellular user and other D2D pairs that share the same spectrum resources with $D_j$. Hence, the interference power $I_{D_j}$ at the D2D receiver $D_j$ of the D2D pair $D_j$ can be calculated as

$$I_{D_j} = \sum_{i=1}^{N_c} \lambda_{C_i,D_j}P_{ij}^cG_{ij,BS} + \sum_{k=1}^{N_c} \lambda_{C_i,D_j}P_{ij}^cG_{ij,BS}, \quad (7)$$

Consequently, the $\text{SINR}_{D_j}$ at the D2D receiver $D_j$ of the D2D pair $D_j$ is obtained by

$$\text{SINR}_{D_j} = \frac{P_{D_j}^dG_{D_j,BS}}{I_{D_j} + \sigma^2}. \quad (8)$$

According to Shannon theorem [39], the corresponding achievable link rate $R_{C_i}$ at the BS from the cellular user $C_i$ is given as

$$R_{C_i} = W_s \log_2(1 + \text{SINR}_{C_i}). \quad (9)$$

where $W_s$ is the bandwidth. The corresponding achievable link rate $R_{D_j}$ at the receiver $D_j$ of the D2D pair $D_j$ is obtained as

$$R_{D_j} = W_s \log_2(1 + \text{SINR}_{D_j}). \quad (10)$$

Therefore, we can achieve the system throughput by considering all the cellular users $\mathcal{C}$ and D2D pairs together, which is calculated as

$$R = \sum_{i=1}^{N_c} R_{C_i} + \sum_{j=1}^{N_c} \lambda_{C_i,D_j} R_{D_j}. \quad (11)$$

In D2D communications, D2D users reuse the same spectrum resources of cellular users in order to enhance the spectrum efficiency. To do so, they require more cooperation information. It is noted that the exchange of cooperation information between users will cause an increase in extra energy consumption. Therefore, the energy efficiency of cellular user $EE_{C_i}$ and D2D pair $EE_{D_j}$ can be respectively formulated as

$$EE_{C_i} = \frac{R_{C_i}}{P_{C_i} + P_{C_i,\text{circuit}}}, \quad (12)$$

$$EE_{D_j} = \frac{R_{D_j}}{P_{D_j} + P_{D_j,\text{circuit}}}, \quad (13)$$

where $P_{C_i,\text{circuit}}$ and $P_{D_j,\text{circuit}}$ represent the circuit power consumption of cellular user $C_i$ and D2D pair $D_j$, respectively. In addition, $\delta_{C_i}$ and $\delta_{D_j}$ denote the power consumption coefficients that reflect the importance of power consumption; $EE_{C_i}^{\text{ini}}$ and $EE_{D_j}^{\text{ini}}$ represent the initial average energy efficiency of the cellular user $C_i$ and D2D pair $D_j$, respectively.

When we take social relationships in the system into account, the power consumption coefficients may vary according to the strength of social relationship, which influences the sharing enthusiasm of cellular users. We observe that this sharing enthusiasm will be greater if the social relationship between two users is stronger, leading to less power consumption coefficient. Without loss of generality, we therefore assume that the power consumption coefficient is modeled as a decaying exponential function, which can be expressed as follows [13]

$$\delta_{C_i} = \delta_{D_j} = \exp(-\alpha S_{ij}), \quad (14)$$

where $S_{ij}$ represents the strength of social relationship between cellular user $C_i$ and D2D pair $D_j$, which can be inferred from the social domain of users and $\alpha$ denotes the impact coefficient of social relationship.

Finally, the overall energy efficiency of the system, which is objective function of our optimization problem, can be obtained by considering all the cellular users and D2D pairs as

$$EE = \sum_{i=1}^{N_c} \left(EE_{C_i} + \sum_{j=1}^{N_c} \lambda_{C_i,D_j} EE_{D_j}\right). \quad (15)$$

3.2.2. Spectrum efficiency

For guaranteeing the QoS for all users, we also need to consider the spectrum efficiency of cellular link $SE_{C_i}$ and D2D link $SE_{D_j}$, which must satisfy the following conditions

$$SE_{C_i} \succeq \gamma_{C_i}, \forall C_i \in \mathcal{C}, \quad (16)$$

$$SE_{D_j} \succeq \gamma_{D_j}, \forall D_j \in \mathcal{D}, \quad (17)$$

where $\gamma_{C_i}$ and $\gamma_{D_j}$ denote the minimum spectrum efficiency requirements of the cellular link and the D2D link, respectively. It is noted that
\( Y_C = R_{C}^{\text{min}} \) and \( Y_D = R_{D}^{\text{min}} \), where \( R_{C}^{\text{min}} \) and \( R_{D}^{\text{min}} \) are the minimum data rate requirements of cellular user and D2D pair, respectively.

4. Social-aware energy efficiency optimization problem and solution

4.1. Social-aware energy efficiency optimization problem

Towards green communications in 5G networks, we leverage the social relationships of mobile users to propose the social-aware energy efficiency optimization problem. Our aim is to maximize the overall energy efficiency of the system in (15), by finding out the optimal spectrum resources reusing indicator \( \lambda_{C,D} \) (for channel mode selection) and transmission powers allocated for each user \( P_{C,j}^{0}, P_{D,j}^{0} \). Moreover, the transmission power and spectrum efficiency must be considered in the constraints of our optimization problem. Mathematically, this social-aware energy efficiency optimization problem is formulated as follows

\[
\max \{ EE \}, \quad \text{s.t.} \begin{align*}
& C_1: \sum_{j=1}^{N_C} \lambda_{C,D_j} \leq 1, \forall D_j \in \mathcal{S}_D, \\
& C_2: SE_{C_i} \geq Y_C, \forall C_i \in \mathcal{S}_C, \\
& C_3: SE_{D_j} \geq Y_D, \forall D_j \in \mathcal{S}_D, \\
& C_4: 0 \leq P_{C,j}^{0} \leq P_{C,j}^{\text{max}}, \forall C_i \in \mathcal{S}_C, \\
& C_5: 0 \leq P_{D,j}^{0} \leq P_{D,j}^{\text{max}}, \forall D_j \in \mathcal{S}_D.
\end{align*}
\]  

In (19), the first constraint \( C_1 \) is applied to ensure that a D2D pair can reuse the spectrum resource of at most one cellular user in a time slot. We utilize this constraint to reduce the complexity of interferences in the D2D communication environment. But the spectrum resource of one cellular should be shared with multiple D2D pairs in order to maximize the spectrum efficiency [30]. The next two constraints \( C_2 \) and \( C_3 \) are used to satisfy the QoS requirements (i.e., spectrum efficiency) for all users, which are previously mentioned in Section 3.2.2. The last two constraints \( C_4 \) and \( C_5 \) are the maximum transmission power constraints to limit the interference to D2D pairs and cellular users.

Although the Lagrange optimization approach can be used to solve this proposed social-aware energy efficiency optimization problem, its high complexity makes it less suitable for practical systems [40-43]. Hence, we will apply the adaptive genetic algorithm (Adaptive GA) [44], which is a class of adaptive heuristic searching algorithms based on the natural evolutionary principles of selection, genetic inheritance and variation, to solve our proposed optimization problem as a promising solution [40-42]. The motivation of choosing the Adaptive GA is that this algorithm is commonly used to generate high-quality solutions for complex optimization problems within a reasonable time frame [41]. Although the Adaptive GA may not achieve the polynomial time complexity, it can significantly reduce the complexity compared with the Lagrange multipliers method [40-42]. The implementation of Adaptive GA will be introduced in details in the following section.

4.2. Adaptive GA solution

We observe that the objective function (18) and constraints (19) in our proposed social-aware energy efficiency optimization problem cannot be directly applied to the Adaptive GA. Because they may cause the Adaptive GA to breed the next generations that do not guarantee the constraints. Hence, to cope with this difficulty we utilize the penalty function method to transform (18) and (19) to the optimization problem without constraints [40]. In this way, we first convert the constraints in (19) as

\[
\begin{align*}
& \Delta \lambda_{C,D_j} = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{N_C} \lambda_{C,D_i} \geq 0, \forall D_j \in \mathcal{S}_D, \\
& \Delta SE_{C_i} = SE_{C_i} - Y_C \geq 0, \forall C_i \in \mathcal{S}_C, \\
& \Delta SE_{D_j} = SE_{D_j} - Y_D \geq 0, \forall D_j \in \mathcal{S}_D, \\
& \Delta P_{C,j}^{0} = P_{C,j}^{0} - P_{C,j}^{\text{max}} \geq 0, \forall C_i \in \mathcal{S}_C, \\
& \Delta P_{D,j}^{0} = P_{D,j}^{0} - P_{D,j}^{\text{max}} \geq 0, \forall D_j \in \mathcal{S}_D.
\end{align*}
\]

Then, the penalty function can be achieved as below

\[
F_{\text{penalty}} = \sum_{j=1}^{N_D} \left[ \min\{0, \Delta \lambda_{C,D_j}\}\right]^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{N_C} \left[ \min\{0, \Delta SE_{C_i}\}\right]^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{N_D} \left[ \min\{0, \Delta SE_{D_j}\}\right]^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{N_C} \left[ \min\{0, \Delta P_{C,j}^{0}\}\right]^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{N_D} \left[ \min\{0, \Delta P_{D,j}^{0}\}\right]^2,
\]

where \( a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \) and \( a_5 \) are used to indicate the violation degree of constraints.

Finally, we will combine (18) and (21) to achieve the optimization problem without constraints as shown below

\[
\max \{ EE_{\text{penalty}} = EE + F_{\text{penalty}} \},
\]

So far, we apply Adaptive GA to solve (22) instead of (18) and (19) to find out the optimal spectrum resources reusing indicator \( \lambda_{C,D} \) (for channel mode selection) and transmission powers allocated for each user \( P_{C,j}^{0}, P_{D,j}^{0} \). The Algorithm 1 describes the detailed implementation of Adaptive GA for our social-aware energy efficiency optimization problem. The parameters of Adaptive GA are initialized as follows. \( N_I \) is the number of individuals in a population (population size), which needs to be large enough to generate a diverse set of candidates. However, the too large population size may slow down the convergence of Adaptive GA, so \( N_I \) is set to 200. For the convenient implementation of Adaptive GA, each individual will be encoded in the form of Gray binary code with \( NB = 32 \) bits [25,45]. Besides, \( NG \) is the maximum number of generations, which is related to the improvement of fitness function. In Adaptive GA, the fitness function usually gets major improvements in the early generations and then an asymptotically optimal value. Therefore \( NG = 100 \) is sufficient without increasing complexity [25]. The generation gap is represented by \( PG \), which is the proportion of the population to be replaced in each generation. \( PG \) is set to 0.9 to have better results in the next generation [25,45,46].

In our proposed method, Algorithm 1 which will be installed into the BS, aims to solve the EEO problem. Therefore, the BS needs to have the full knowledge of the system and will be in charge of efficiently allocating resources to all MUs to maximize the energy efficiency while ensuring high system throughput and spectrum efficiency as per QoS requirements. Due to the MUs’ mobility, Algorithm 1 is only executed whenever MUs newly appear within or leave the coverage of BS. It should be noted that a centralized control is deployed at the BS in our approach. This may introduce the undesired overhead, which mainly includes the costs of calculating key parameters, exchanging the cooperation information and implementing Algorithm 1. However, this overhead is acceptable because Algorithm 1 is only executed periodically and effectively thanks to powerful processing capacity of the BS. Moreover, the significant improvements in terms of energy efficiency and system throughput can be achieved through our proposed solution, which makes this overhead unimportant.
Require: Initial parameters of the Adaptive GA
\begin{align*}
NI &= 200: \text{Number of individuals in a population} \\
NB &= 32: \text{Number of bits to denote an individual of solution set } \{S^z\} = \{x_{C_i,D_j}^z, P_{C_i,T_x}^z, P_{D_j,T_x}^z\}, z = 1, 2, \ldots, NI \\
NG &= 100: \text{Maximum number of generations} \\
PG &= 0.9: \text{Generation gap}
\end{align*}

Ensure: \(S^* = x_{C_i,D_j}^{z*}, P_{C_i,T_x}^{z*}, P_{D_j,T_x}^{z*}\)

1. Generate random \(NI\) individuals of solution \(\{S^z\}\)
2. Calculate fitness values \(EE_{penalty}(\{S^z\})\) in (22) of all individuals of \(\{S^z\}\) in current generation
3. repeat
4. Put \(\{S^z\}\) and \(EE_{penalty}(\{S^z\})\) in the mating pool
5. Select \(NI \times PG\) best individuals with better fitness values, i.e., higher values of \(EE_{penalty}(\{S^z\})\), for breeding the next generation by using stochastic universal sampling operator [44]
6. Define the diversity level of population (DL) as: \(DL = \frac{\text{best\_population\_fitness\_value}}{\text{average\_population\_fitness\_value}}\) (Crossover probability) and \(P_{muts} = \frac{1}{\exp(1-DL)}\) (Mutation probability)
7. Calculate \(P_{cross} = \frac{1}{1+\exp(1-DL)}\) (Crossover probability) and \(P_{muts} = \frac{1}{\exp(1-DL)}\) (Mutation probability)
8. Collect 2 parent individuals to breed 2 offsprings by applying single point crossover operator with crossover probability \(P_{cross}\) [44]
9. Mutate 2 new offsprings with mutation probability \(P_{muts}\) to recover the good genes that could be lost because of the operations in previous steps
10. Calculate the fitness values of all offsprings and add them to current population to yield the next generation
11. \(NG \leftarrow NG - 1\)
12. until \((NG \leq 0)\)
13. Find the best fitness value and the corresponding best individual \(S^*\) in the last generation

\textbf{Algorithm 1.} The implementation of Adaptive GA for our social-aware energy efficiency optimization problem.
5. Performance evaluation

In this section, we will introduce the numerical results to demonstrate the effectiveness of our proposed solution.

5.1. Parameter setting

In a single cell scenario, we deploy the system within a 500 m × 500 m network area where the BS is located at the center and multiple cellular users/D2D pairs are randomly distributed. With D2D communications, the maximum distance between D2D transmitter and D2D receiver is set to be 25 m. In order to illustrate the cellular users and D2D pairs in the simulation, we sketch the network layout with 3 cellular users and 5 D2D pairs, as shown in Fig. 3. We also set the path loss factor in Rayleigh fading channels as $\eta = 3$. The important parameters are shown in Table 2.

5.2. Convergence evaluation of adaptive GA

We implement the Adaptive GA with 100 generations to solve our social-aware energy efficiency optimization problem. From Fig. 4, we can see that the convergence of Adaptive GA holds quickly after around 20 generations when the mean fitness value of all individuals converges on the best fitness value. This result shows that the Adaptive GA are realizable to deal with our proposed social-aware energy efficiency optimization problem.

5.3. The performance metrics

In this work, we evaluate the performance of our proposed social-aware energy efficiency optimization solution, denoted as “EEO”, by comparing the “EEO” with the other two social-unaware cases which are denoted as “ECP” and “RCP”. In “ECP” scheme, both the channel mode (i.e., cellular or D2D mode) and transmission powers are equally allocated to each user, independent of social relationships. However, they must satisfy the constraints in (19). Similarly, in “RCP” scheme, both the channel mode and transmission powers are randomly allocated to each user. The performance of all schemes, i.e., the energy efficiency and system throughput will be evaluated in the sequels.

5.3.1. The impact coefficient of social relationship strength $\alpha$

Figs. 5 and 6 depict the energy efficiency and system throughput in “EEO”, “ECP” and “RCP” schemes versus the impact coefficient of social relationship strength $\alpha$, respectively. Here, we keep $N_C = 4$, $N_D = 6$ and vary $\alpha$ from 0.1 to 1.

The results in Fig. 5 indicate that the energy efficiency in our proposed “EEO” scheme increases with increasing the impact coefficient of social relationship strength $\alpha$. The reason is that, when the impact coefficient of social relationship strength is stronger the cooperation in

![Fig. 3. Network layout.](image)

![Fig. 4. Convergence rate of adaptive GA.](image)

![Fig. 5. Energy efficiency vs. $\alpha$.](image)
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**Table 2**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Network area</td>
<td>500 m × 500 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of cellular users</td>
<td>vary from 2 to 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of D2D pairs</td>
<td>vary from 4 to 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noise power of AWGN</td>
<td>$\sigma^2 = 10^{-11}$ W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum transmission power</td>
<td>$P_t = P_{max} = 0.5$ W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Circuit power consumption</td>
<td>$P_{C_{\text{Circuit}}} = 0.01$ W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D2D transmission distance</td>
<td>$d \leq 25$ m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Channel bandwidth for cellular user</td>
<td>$W_{C_1} = 180$ kHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Channel bandwidth for D2D pair</td>
<td>$W_{C_2} = 15$ kHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impact coefficient of social relationship strength</td>
<td>$\alpha$ vary from 0.1 to 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial average energy efficiency</td>
<td>$EE_{I_1}^{(C)} = EE_{I_2}^{(D)} = 1$ Kbps/W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum spectrum efficiency of the cellular link</td>
<td>$\gamma_1 = R_{C_1}^{(C)} = 50$ Kbps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum spectrum efficiency of the D2D link</td>
<td>$\gamma_2 = R_{C_2}^{(D)} = 5$ Kbps</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
D2D communications will be more effective, leading to an increase in energy efficiency. Moreover, our proposed “EEO” always gains the best energy efficiency performance, i.e., 36% and 53% better than the “ECP” and “RCP” schemes, respectively. We also see in Fig. 6 that the system throughput in our proposed “EEO” outperforms that in the “ECP” and “RCP” schemes. These are because that our proposed “EEO” considers both the social relationships and physical interference between all MUs to improve the performance of D2D communications, while “ECP” and “RCP” cannot.

These evaluation results shown that considering the social relationships of mobile users can significantly improve the energy efficiency and system throughput in D2D communications.

5.3.2. The number of cellular users $N_C$

Furthermore, we also investigate the performance of “EEO”, “ECP” and “RCP” schemes under influence of the number of cellular users $N_C$ by keeping $\alpha = 1$ and $N_D = 5$ while varying $N_C$ from 2 to 20.

As can be clearly observed in Figs. 7 and 8, increasing the number of cellular users $N_C$ results in an enhancement in the energy efficiency and system throughput since more resource sharing opportunity in D2D communications can be obtained. In comparison, our proposed “EEO” scheme also achieves the highest energy efficiency and system throughput compared with “ECP” and “RCP” schemes because the optimization solution improves the system performance. Especially, the amplitude of effectiveness increases with the number of cellular users $N_C$. For example, the energy efficiency in our proposed “EEO” scheme is enhanced by 21% when $N_C = 4$ and 92% when $N_C = 14$ (Fig. 7). This is because when the number of cellular users $N_C$ is larger then the effectiveness of optimization solution becomes better. In other words, the proposed social-aware energy efficiency optimization solution will achieve remarkable improvements in terms of energy efficiency and system throughput when there are more cellular users in the networks.

5.3.3. The number of D2D pairs $N_D$

Finally, we keep $\alpha = 1$ and $N_C = 5$ while varying $N_D$ from 4 to 12, aiming to evaluate the performance of “EEO”, “ECP” and “RCP” schemes under influence of the number of D2D pairs $N_D$.

As can be clearly seen from Figs. 9 and 10, when increasing the number of D2D pairs $N_D$, both the energy efficiency and system throughput in “ECP” and “RCP” schemes considerably drop (about 92%) while our “EEO” scheme slightly increases (about 30%). The reason is that, in “ECP” and “RCP” schemes, when the number of D2D pairs $N_D$ increases, the mutual interference between cellular user and D2D user increases, leading to a decrease in energy efficiency and system throughput. Meanwhile our proposed “EEO” scheme considers the mutual interference problem effectively to improve the system performance. Furthermore, our proposed “EEO” scheme is always the
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